

## UNITED STATÉS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
57 <u>7367280 06</u> 7	<u>23776</u> J	RIKORE	t:	B.	YER JE	
PRINC: FELFE: HORN: LYNCH & BRAMER 00 THERD AVEL: EM YORK: N.Y. 10018			7	CACHE AND THE PE		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1.24	32	
•		DA	DATE MAILED: 09/14/J/8/5			

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

,	
This application has been examined $\square$ Responsive to communication filed on $\square$ 7-23	This action is made final.
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire TIRE month(s),	
THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  2. Notice re Patent Drawing 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449  4. Notice of informal Patent 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474  6.	g, PTO-948. t Application, Form PTO-152
rt II SUMMARY OF ACTION	¥ -
1. 1 Claims 5-11, 14, 16, 18, 24-53	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims 33, 39, 44, 46	are withdrawn from consideration.
	_ have been cancelled.
3. Claims	
4. Claims 5-10, 14, 18, 24-32, 34-38, 40-43, 4-47-495	√are rejected.
5. V Claims	
6. Claims are subject to	restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purpose matter is indicated.	es until such time as allowable subject
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Offi	ice action.
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These draw not acceptable (see explanation).	rings areacceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of dra has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	awings, filed on
the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsible corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attack EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	lity to ensure that the drawings are
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	been received not been received
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is closed in
14 Other	
<del></del>	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

Serial No. 936,280 Art Unit 121

Applicants' amendment filed August 23, 1985 contains numerous errors under 37 CFR 1.121. It appears that the partially entered amendment of June 11, 1979 has not been considered by counsel because many changes effected thereby are again requested. For example, note the claim dependences in claims 24, 25, 27, 29, 34-36 and 38. Claim 5 never depended on claim 1; rather it depends on claim 4. Claim 39 depends on 18 and not on Claim 10 contains omissions and spelling errors. In claim 47 the "(a)" must immediately follow the first phenyl or the claim loses meaning. There are errors in the substituents  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  in claims 47 and 48. paper No. 7, page 4 and note that claims 24, 25, 27, 29, 34-36 and 38 are "twice amended", since certain changes were made after the June 11, 1979 amendment, e.g. claim dependences and the spelling of "hyperlipaemia" in claim 29. A carefully corrected amendment is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said sub-

Serial No. 936,280

Art Unit 121

ject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 5-10, 18, 24-32, 34-38, 40-43 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Murai et al, U.S. Patent 4,182,767, of record (Murai).

The recitation of C<sub>5</sub> alkyl for  $R_1$  reads on adjacent homologs of the Murai compounds. Note that the  $C_1$ - $C_4$  progression in Murai renders obvious both  $C_5$  and  $C_6$  alkyl; In re Riden, 138 USPQ 112.

Claims 5-10, 14, 18, 24-32, 34-38, 40-43, 47 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al (Matsumura), the winning party in Interference No. 100,397.

Applicant's arguments filed August 23, 1985 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Obvious variants of the propylphenyl compound must be excluded from the claims, along with the phenylpropyl compound itself.

Serial No. 936,280 Art Unit 121

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Saeki for reasons of record.

It has never been established that XIII is the product of acid hydrolysis of VIII. The UV data show a pyridine substituted by hydroxyl(s), possibly three hydroxyls. Hydrogenation of the compound would give the instant compounds when R<sub>2</sub> is not hydrogen. Thus loss and reintroduction of hydroxyls is not at issue. The process<sup>25</sup> are entirely analogous.

Claims 5-9, 18, 24-32, 34-38, 40-43, 45 and 47-49 are for reasons of record rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Counsel is confusing basis with support. The first alkyl (page 7, line 2 of the amendment should read "C5-C30 alkyl" to avoid confusion with the newly added alkyl phrase. The "a" must be immediately after the first phenyl. Phenylacylcarbonyl still appears in claim 49. What does substitution by "sulfur" mean in claims 47 and 49? Claim 48 would be allowable upon correction of errors.

The restriction requirement has been previously made FINAL and can be withdrawn only by petitioning the

Serial No. 936,280

Art Unit 121

Commissioner. Accordingly, claims 33, 39, 44 and 46 stand withdrawn; 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Claims 11, 16, 52 and 53 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 50 is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Schwartz:ebw

A/C 703

557-3920

9/12/85

RICHARD A. SCHWARTZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 121